FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAY 22 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ELENA FERGUSON-BUDESCU,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-75508

Agency No. A43-052-036

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 15, 2006**

Before: B. FLETCHER, TROTT, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Elena Ferguson-Budescu, a native and citizen of Romania, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") decision dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision terminating her conditional

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

permanent residency. The IJ concluded that Ferguson-Budescu's petition for a waiver of the requirement that she and her former husband, Michael Brennan, file a joint petition for removal of the condition on her permanent residence was properly denied, on the ground that she did not establish that they had entered into their marriage in good faith. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Damon v. Ashcroft*, 360 F.3d 1084, 1088 (9th Cir. 2004), and we deny the petition for review.

The record indicates that Ferguson-Budescu failed to produce any documentary evidence regarding the bona fides of her marriage to Brennan, and failed to explain why she did not attempt to obtain documents or other evidence regarding the marriage. Ferguson-Budescu produced only one witness who testified vaguely about the marriage, and her own testimony revealed that she had little knowledge about her first husband or the five months they allegedly spent together. Substantial evidence therefore supports the BIA's conclusion that Ferguson-Budescu failed to establish that she and her first husband "intended to establish a life together at the time they were married." *Id*.

We deny Ferguson-Budescu's request to remand so that the agency may consider an additional item of evidence.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.